## HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

## PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 887 of 2022

Rajneesh Kumar Pandey

....Petitioner

Through: - Mr. Balendra Deo Misra, Advocate

v/s

Union of India and others

....Respondents

Through:- Mr. Alok Kumar Singh, Standing Counsel for respondents no. 3 and 4

## CORAM : HON'BLE RAJESH BINDAL, CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE J.J. MUNIR, JUDGE

## **ORDER**

- 1. The present petition has been filed in public interest praying for the following reliefs:
  - "(i) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding/directing the respondents to construct the Ram Ban Gaman Marg as per the history and evidence of Ram Ban Gaman Marg which is Annexure No. 20 to the present writ petition;
  - (ii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to modify the route which is published in newspaper as per the evidence of record;
  - (iii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents that if the 4 lane construction is not possible everywhere of Ram Ban Gaman Marg then the Authority concern construct proper connectivity marg of Ram Ban Gaman Marg from all places of Ram Ban Gaman Marg.
  - (iv) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus

2

commanding/directing the respondents to connect all places where the Lord Ram was take rest at night during the Travel of Forest (Ban Gaman).

- (v) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to take proper action and not disobey the Route of the Lord Ram Ban Gaman and connect all the places where Lord Ram Ban Gaman way."
- 2. Firstly, there is non-compliance of the provisions of Rule 1(3-A), Chapter XXII of the Allahabad High Court Rules, 1952 as the petitioner has not mentioned his credentials to comply with the aforesaid requirements. In the petition, it is only stated that the petitioner is a social worker. However, at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner was fair enough stating that the petitioner is a political person, as he is an Ex-Member of Zila Panchayat, District-Kaushambi about ten years back. Even now, he is involved in political activities.
- 3. The issue sought to be raised, in our view, cannot be decided in the writ petition filed by the petitioner as he himself does not know anything about it being a political person. Apparently, the writ petition has been filed for some ulterior motive. The State must have conducted thorough research before taking decision to execute the project in question. No direction can be issued for modification of the route of "Ram Van Gaman Marg".
- 4. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(J.J. Munir, J.) (Rajesh Bindal, C.J.)

Allahabad 11.05.2022 P.Sri./Manish Himwan

Whether the order is speaking: Yes Whether the order is reportable: Yes/No